```
1
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                        MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
 2
                              NASHVILLE DIVISION
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                             Case No. 3:24-cr-00178-1
    ٧S
 5
    REYNALDO SALINAS-CRUZ
 6
 7
 8
                             BEFORE THE HONORABLE
 9
                      ALETA A. TRAUGER, DISTRICT JUDGE
10
                         TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                               November 12, 2024
12
13
14
    APPEARANCES:
15
    For the Government:
                                     Joshua A. Kurtzman
                                     US Attorney's Office
719 Church Street
16
17
                                     Suite 3300
                                     Nashville, TN 37203
18
    For the Defendant:
                                     Mary K. Harcombe
Federal Public Defender's Office
19
                                     810 Broadway
                                     Suite 200
20
                                     Nashville, TN
                                                      37203
21
    Interpreter:
                                     Judith Kristy
22
23
    Patricia A. Jennings, RMR, CRR
    Official Court Reporter
    719 Church Street, Suite 2300
Nashville, TN 37203
patty_jennings@tnmd.uscourts.gov
24
25
```

```
1
               The above-styled cause came to be heard on
 2
    November 12, 2024, at 2:00 p.m., before the Honorable Aleta
 3
   A. Trauger, District Judge, when the following proceedings
 4
   were had, to-wit:
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Good afternoon. Let's swear the
 6
7
    interpreter, Ms. Kristy.
8
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
9
               (Interpreter sworn.)
               INTERPRETER:
10
                             T do.
11
               THE COURT: All right. We are here on -- we're
12
    here on a change of plea in United States versus Reynaldo
13
    Salinas-Cruz. We have Josh Kurtzman for the government.
    Good afternoon.
14
15
               MR. KURTZMAN: Good afternoon. Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: And Mary Harcombe for
   Mr. Salinas-Cruz. I think we'll let him remain where he is
17
18
    so that the interpreter can -- does she need to be next to
    him, rather than -- does she need to be on the other side of
19
20
    him? Wouldn't that be better or not?
21
               INTERPRETER:
                             It's not necessary, Your Honor, but
22
    it would be perhaps convenient in case he wanted to make any
23
    signals to me or --
24
               THE COURT: She can't hear what you're saying.
25
    think that as long as the equipment is working, it's fine;
```

```
1
    right?
 2
               THE INTERPRETER:
                                 It's not necessary as long as we
 3
   have equipment, but it could be interesting for him to be in
   between the two of us.
 4
 5
               MS. HARCOMBE: She said that that might be easier.
    I think she has to stay plugged in. So I guess he and I will
6
7
    move over.
8
               THE COURT: Okay. Why can't he just scoot over,
9
   and --
10
               MS. HARCOMBE:
                              Oh, you're right.
11
               THE COURT: -- you sit where he's sitting? Okay.
12
    You're too young to have a slip like that, Ms. Harcombe.
13
               MS. HARCOMBE: Good point. I'm so used to sitting
    on that side of my client --
14
15
               THE COURT: I see.
                                   Okay.
16
               MS. HARCOMBE: -- but in my mind, that's just what
   we're doing.
17
18
               THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry, but...
               MS. HARCOMBE: Good thing someone's on top of
19
20
    things today.
21
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Well, I try.
22
               All right. Let's swear the defendant.
23
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: Would the defendant please
24
    rise.
           Raise your right hand.
25
               (Defendant sworn.)
```

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I do.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Be seated. Should I call him
 3
    Mr. Salinas or Mr. Cruz or Mr. Salinas-Cruz?
               MS. HARCOMBE: It's Salinas-Cruz.
 4
               THE COURT: Salinas-Cruz.
 5
               MS. HARCOMBE: So it is -- it is both.
 6
7
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Salinas-Cruz,
8
    everything you say in court today is under oath and could be
9
    used against you in a prosecution for committing perjury or
10
    making a false statement.
11
               Do you understand that?
12
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
13
               THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Up to sixth grade.
15
               THE COURT: Can you read and write in Spanish?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
17
               THE COURT: Some, but not fluently?
18
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Uh-huh.
19
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Mr. Salinas-Cruz, you are
20
    charged in an indictment returned by the grand jury in this
21
    district on October 2nd of 2024 with the following offenses:
22
               Count One charges beginning not later than
23
    September of 2023 and continuing through at least May 17 of
24
    2024, in this district and elsewhere, you and your
25
    co-defendant, Sofia Rodas, conspired to knowingly and
```

```
1
    unlawfully -- they didn't enter into a marriage. The two
 2
    defendants didn't enter into a marriage.
 3
               MR. KURTZMAN: No, they're charged with conspiracy
    in Count One, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Conspiracy to have other people enter
6
    into a marriage.
7
               MS. HARCOMBE: With a third person.
8
               THE COURT: With a third person. All right.
   Well, the indictment is not very artfully worded,
9
10
   Mr. Kurtzman, but I will overlook that for now.
11
               MR. KURTZMAN:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. At any rate, that you
13
    conspired to knowingly and unlawfully have a third person
14
    enter into marriage with yourself for the purpose of evading
15
    the immigration laws of the United States.
16
               And Count Two charges that on or about October 6th
17
    of 2023, you, an alien, a non-citizen of the United States,
18
    knowingly and unlawfully entered into marriage for the
19
    purpose of evading immigration laws of the United States.
20
               Do you feel that you understand this charge --
21
    these charges against you?
22
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
23
               THE COURT: Have you told your lawyer everything
24
    you know about the facts that support these charges against
25
    vou?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Has she told you what the government 3 would have to prove for you to be found guilty of these 4 charges? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Has she discussed with you any 6 7 possible defenses you might have? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Has she done all the investigation you have asked her to do? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with her 13 representation of you so far? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Salinas-Cruz, these offenses both carry up to five years in prison, a fine of up to \$250,000. 16 17 Count One, the conspiracy charge, carries a supervised 18 release term of up to five years, and Count Two carries -- I guess they're both up to five years. Yeah, they're both up 19 20 to five years of -- well --MS. HARCOMBE: I believe --21 22 THE COURT: They're both up to three years. 23 They're both up to three years of supervised release, 24 and they both have a \$100 special assessment. 25 I want to explain a little more about those

penalties to you. We do not have any parole in the federal system. We have a system of good-time credits that you might or might not earn, up to 54 days per year. However many days you earn would be credited at the end of each year and would shorten your jail time by that much. Any jail time is followed --

MS. HARCOMBE: I believe the machine stopped working.

THE COURT: Is it working? Okay. Any jail time is followed by a period of supervised release, where you would be reporting to a probation officer and having to comply with certain conditions. If you violated any of those conditions, your supervised release could be revoked, and you could be made to serve additional time in prison.

These offenses carry substantial fines. I must levy a fine against you, unless I find you are financially unable to pay a fine. The \$100 special assessment must be paid no matter what your ability is to pay it.

These are felonies you're offering to plead guilty to. Conviction of a felony may deprive you of the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, and these convictions may be counted as necessary prior convictions in a prosecution for being a habitual criminal.

Because you are not a citizen of the United States, I must ask you if you have discussed the

2 lawyer. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that since you are not a citizen, in addition to the other possible penalties 5 you are facing, a plea of guilty may subject you to 6 7 deportation, exclusion or voluntary departure, and may 8 prevent you from obtaining US citizenship? Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: Are you presently on probation or 12 parole from any other offense? THE DEFENDANT: 13 No. 14 THE COURT: I want to explain to you the important 15 constitutional rights you're giving up by pleading guilty. You have the right to go to trial with the assistance of your 16 17 lawyer, who would confront and cross-examine the witnesses on

possible immigration consequences of a guilty plea with your

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kind of a case at all. It would be the government's sole burden to prove each and every element of these offenses beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of a jury of 12 people. Their verdict would have to be unanimous.

testify, incriminate yourself, call a witness, or put on any

your behalf. You could not be made to take the stand,

Do you understand that by pleading guilty you're giving up all of those important constitutional rights?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And do you understand there will be no further trial of any sort, there will just be a sentencing 3 4 hearing in front of me? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: You are proposing to plead guilty to 6 7 these two offenses without a plea agreement. 8 Is that what you wish to do? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 10 THE COURT: Has anyone promised or suggested to 11 you what sentence I will give you in order to get you to 12 plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 14 THE COURT: Let me ask the question again. 15 anyone promised or suggested to you what sentence I will give 16 you in order to get you to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: 17 No. 18 MS. HARCOMBE: And, Your Honor, I think the 19 confusion was we did talk about the guidelines. And so I think that that's the --20 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You understand that 22 the Court must compute the advisory guideline range for your 23 Do you understand that? case? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: But do you understand that those

```
1
   guidelines are not binding on me? I can give you a sentence
 2
    below the guidelines or a sentence above the guidelines.
 3
   you understand that?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone put any kind of
   pressure on you, psychological or physical, to get you to
6
7
    plead guilty?
8
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
9
               THE COURT: Have you had any alcohol in the last
10
    12 hours?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
12
               THE COURT: Have you had any narcotics,
13
    hallucinogens or medicine containing narcotics in the last
    12 hours?
14
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
16
               THE COURT: Are you on any medication at all
17
    today?
18
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
19
               THE COURT: Is your mind clear, and you feel like
20
    you know what you're doing?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       I'm going to ask all
23
   parties to execute the petition and pass it forward.
               Mr. Salinas-Cruz, have you read -- I know the
24
25
   petition has been translated into Spanish. Have you read the
```

```
1
   entire petition to enter a plea of guilty and do you feel
 2
    that you understand it?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. If you would sign the
 4
    petition and pass it forward.
 5
 6
               MS. HARCOMBE: Sorry, Judge. I had it right here,
7
    and then I moved. I can't remember where I put the original
8
   copy.
          Here it is.
9
               THE COURT: Has Mr. Kurtzman signed it?
               MS. HARCOMBE: Yes, ma'am, he has.
10
11
               THE COURT: All right. All right. Mr. Kurtzman,
12
    I'll hear the facts. Don't go too fast. You got a lot of
13
    facts to give here.
14
               (Mr. Kurtzman was sworn.)
15
               MR. KURTZMAN:
                              I do.
16
               (As read:) Your Honor, Katia Duenas-Aguilar, a
17
    United States citizen, enlisted in the United States Army and
18
   was stationed at Fort Campbell in June 2019. Katia became
    romantically involved with another soldier and gave birth to
19
20
    a son in April of 2020. Katia would eventually marry the
21
    father of her child, Kendryk, in August 2021.
22
               Sometime in 2021, Katia was working part-time at
23
    an El Salvadoran restaurant in Clarksville to supplement her
24
    military pay and met Sofia Rodas. Katia and Rodas became
25
    friends, and Rodas eventually introduced Katia to Rodas's
```

boyfriend, Reynaldo Salinas-Cruz. Salinas-Cruz and Rodas lived together in a home in Cumberland Furnace, Tennessee, that they purchased in December of 2020.

In December 2022, Rodas married a soldier, Jeffrey Thompson, despite the fact that she continued to live in the home she shared with Cruz. Based on a review of Rodas's phone, it does not appear that she ever moved in with Thompson from when they were married until October 2023, that she remained romantically involved with Cruz, and this marriage was likely an effort by Rodas to obtain her United States citizenship or alter her citizenship status. On October 26, 2023, Thompson purchased and closed on a house in Clarksville, and it appears that Rodas and her daughter planned to move in with him. On October 28, 2023, Rodas brought dinner to Thompson's house and spent a few hours with him. However, at around 9 p.m., Thompson texted Rodas the following messages:

9:09 p.m.: Why did you leave?

9:10 p.m.: You said you were staying the night.

You said you was tired let's go to bed. Then we get back
there you tell me to sleep but you just stay on your phone.

You confuse me and tell me stuff you gonna do but we never do
it.

The following day, less than 12 hours after
Thompson sent those texts, Rodas and her daughter returned to

Thompson's house and found him deceased. Thompson had a noose around his neck in the attic of his home, but when found, he was lying on his back with his feet protruding through the ceiling. Despite the fact that Thompson had two children from a previous relationship, he had recently changed the beneficiary of his Soldier's Group Life Insurance, or SGLI, from his children to Rodas. In the week following Thompson's death, Rodas received \$600,000. Rodas, who is in the country illegally, obtained a United States tax identification number based on her marriage to Thompson and also inherited the home that Thompson closed on two days before his death.

Katia remained married to the father of her child until September of 2023. Katia would have officially ended the marriage sooner, but she did not have enough money to pay for finalizing the divorce and the custody agreement. Based on evidence from Rodas and Katia's phone, it appears that Rodas provided Katia with money to finalize her divorce on September 22, 2023. On October 6, 2023, 14 days after her divorce was finalized, Katia married Salinas-Cruz in a ceremony that was only attended by Rodas. Rodas's phone has pictures of herself cuddling with Cruz in their shared bed the same day as Cruz's marriage to Katia. Rodas's phone also contains numerous staged photos that were taken in an effort to make the marriage look legitimate. The presence of these

pictures is not surprising as Salinas-Cruz told law enforcement in a noncustodial interview, after Katia's death, that his immigration attorney told him that he needed pictures with Katia and her child to provide -- or to prove the legitimacy of his marriage to Katia.

At the time Katia married Salinas-Cruz, Katia was sharing a two-bedroom apartment with Miranda Wadsworth. Wadsworth's two children and Katia's son also lived in the apartment. In law enforcement interviews, Wadsworth related the following information:

Katia never mentioned her marriage to Cruz;
Cruz never came to the apartment between August
2023, when Wadsworth moved into the apartment, and May 15,
2024:

On Tuesday, May 14, 2024, Rodas came to their shared apartment with boxes and helped Katia pack her belongings;

During the same visit, Rodas offered Wadsworth boxes to pack her things and informed Wadsworth that she needed to be out of the apartment by the weekend, that Katia was coming to live with Rodas, and Katia would be gone for an extended period of time due to her military service;

The only time Cruz ever came to their shared apartment was on May 15, 2024, two days before Katia was murdered;

On May 15, 2024, Cruz helped Katia load her belongings from the apartment onto a trailer because, according to Rodas, Katia and Wadsworth were being evicted;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Katia dated a soldier named Dorian Miller from January 2024 until the time of her death; and

Dorian Miller regularly came to their shared apartment to spend time with Katia and Kendryk, who's Katia's son.

On November 27, 2023, Katia changed the beneficiary to a portion of her SGLI benefit. The SGLI benefit is broken down into two separate benefits: immediate payment of \$100,000 to assist with funeral costs and a \$500,000 death benefit. On November 27, 2023, Katia made Salinas-Cruz the beneficiary of the \$100,000 portion of her SGLI benefits. When interviewed after Katia's death, Salinas-Cruz stated that this change was made based on the advice of an immigration attorney who had informed him that his marriage would look more legitimate if he was the beneficiary of his wife's insurance policy. It is notable at this point that Rodas and Cruz were well aware that a deceased soldier's death benefit is \$600,000 if you are the listed beneficiary. However, at this point, Katia kept her son as the sole beneficiary of her \$500,000 death benefit.

On February 7, 2024, Rodas texted Katia to encourage her to travel with Cruz to Nashville to meet with

1 his immigration attorney. Rodas directed Katia to bring 2 proof of her military service, her photo identification, and Kendryk's birth certificate with her to the appointment with 3 the lawyer and also offered to pay Katia for the day. 4 also told her it was very important for her to bring these 5 things to the attorney because "they can't send the papers 6 7 without your information and Reynaldo is very stressed." 8 Later that day, Rodas texted Katia that they would be fine as long as nothing legal came up like --9 Not "as long as." "They would be fine 10 THE COURT: 11 as nothing legal came up." Right? You said, "As long as," 12 and that's not what it says. 13 MR. KURTZMAN: I did, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is that what you mean, as long as? MR. KURTZMAN: Yes. Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. MR. KURTZMAN: Like Katia having a boyfriend who 17 18 wanted to get married and reassured Katia that they were 19 halfway there, likely meaning that they were halfway there to 20 defrauding immigration authorities and getting Salinas-Cruz 21 his citizenship. 22 The following day, on February 8, 2024, Katia 23 asked to meet with Rodas over the arranged marriage, and 24 Rodas told Katia to meet at Pablo's Authentic Mexican

Restaurant in Clarksville. The day after Katia's meeting

with Rodas, Salinas-Cruz texted Katia and told her:

That he was joking about not giving her a divorce from their marriage;

That he would pay her \$5,000 if he got a positive result, a citizenship from their fraudulent marriage;

That he thought it was appropriate to pay her for doing him a favor;

That she needed to answer him when he needs information;

That she may need to go alone to meet the immigration lawyer and immigration officials from time to time; and

That she needed to provide him an answer if she is going to help him.

Salinas-Cruz also indicated that he did not want Rodas to know that he was offering Katia this money. This would be the last text message between Katia and Cruz -- and Salinas-Cruz until April 30, 2024, which is evidence that their marriage was fraudulent.

From February 2024 until Katia's death, Rodas and Katia texted continually about their children, Katia's successes in the military, their joint business ventures, and their ongoing efforts to deceive immigration authorities. On February 14, 2024, Rodas contacted Katia and asked her to provide the "deer papers" so they could be forwarded to the

immigration attorney. Rodas is referring to documents related to the "Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System," which is also known as "DEERS," which is the system used to enroll family members into the military health care and benefits system. Presumably, these documents would be another facet of their efforts to deceive immigration authorities. Katia did attempt to enroll Salinas-Cruz into DEERS based on Army records. The following day, Rodas provided the address for Salinas-Cruz's immigration attorney, and they agreed to meet there. Katia also assured Rodas that she would bring Kendryk's birth certificate as well.

On March 1, 2024, Katia told Rodas that she needed information from Salinas-Cruz to file her taxes, and Rodas responded that she should file as "married filing jointly." Around this time frame, there were also messages from Katia to Rodas where Katia is asking how long she should tell the IRS that she has been living with Salinas-Cruz, despite the fact that she lived in a shared apartment with Wadsworth this entire time. On March 21, 2024, Rodas texted Cruz and told her that the immigration attorney needs two passport pictures of Katia for Salinas-Cruz's paperwork.

On April 4, 2024, Rodas continued to encourage
Katia to complete the DEERS paperwork and also provide her -and also asked her to provide a power of attorney for
Salinas-Cruz to strengthen his immigration paperwork. It

appears from the text messages that an immigration interview of Katia and Salinas-Cruz would be occurring once the paperwork was complete. During this same conversation, Rodas told Katia that, if Katia continues to serve in the United States Army, Kendryk should come to live with her.

In early April 2024, Katia began expressing to Rodas that she was frustrated with Wadsworth as a roommate. This frustration appears to be based on Wadsworth's lack of a job and inability to help with bills. Rodas responded that she would help Katia with Wadsworth, but "let's also resolve the migration issue. There is only one month left to find out if they leave you in the service, and we make the plan for Kendryk." The "migration" issue is a direct reference to their efforts to obtain citizenship or a green card for Salinas-Cruz. Rodas then encouraged Katia to focus on the immigration issue and then deal with Wadsworth once Salinas-Cruz's immigration problem was resolved.

In mid-April 2024, Katia and Rodas remained in close communication as Rodas planned a party for Kendryk and Katia -- planned a -- excuse me, planned a party for Kendryk, and Katia dealt with the future of her Army career. On April 19th of 2024, Katia told Rodas that she was going to be staying in the Army until at least May of 2025. Katia also described her desire to become a leader of younger soldiers and to take care of soldiers in a manner which she felt was

lacking in her own experience.

On April 26, 2024, Rodas contacted her military psychologist, who she was seeing after Thompson's suicide, and shared that Katia planned to leave Kendryk, her son, with Rodas for at least a week and that she felt very bad for Kendryk that his mother is very irresponsible with him.

Again, there is no evidence that Katia planned to go anywhere, either on military duty or for personal travels, in the weeks leading up to her death. Likewise, this message in late April 2024 is inconsistent with the texts between Rodas and Katia from February 2024 until Katia's death, all of which were recovered from Katia's and Rodas's phone, that did not discuss any plan for Katia to leave Kendryk with Rodas or Rodas's disapproval of Katia's performance as a parent.

On April 30, 2024, Salinas-Cruz began texting with Katia again about his citizenship application and communicated, "I need to know if you're going to answer to know if you can give me proof that you work in the military because they are asking me urgently." This picks up from previous conversations where Salinas-Cruz is asking Katia whether or not she will continue to help him as he tries to obtain his United States citizenship. Salinas-Cruz and Katia then do not text each other again until the week of Katia's death. On May 15, 2024, Katia and Salinas-Cruz texted each other and agreed to meet at Katia's apartment. Notably,

Katia had to provide Salinas-Cruz with the address of her apartment and a code to enter through the security gate, which is more circumstantial proof that their marriage was fraudulent.

On May 3, 2024, Rodas and Katia discussed immigration documents, and Katia changed the \$500,000 portion of her life insurance to make her son, Kendryk, the primary beneficiary and Cruz the alternate beneficiary. Katia then emailed her changed life insurance documents to Rodas so that Rodas could forward them to their immigration lawyer.

During the first week of May, Katia and Rodas texted numerous times about the immigration fraud. On May 7, 2021, Rodas sent numerous messages about the need for various documents and her willingness to pay Katia for those -- for these documents. During the week of May 11, 2024, Katia informed a fellow soldier that she was in a fraudulent marriage to get someone citizenship. Katia also told this fellow soldier that her fake husband had asked her to have his baby, and Katia shared his desire with her husband's actual girlfriend, which is Rodas. Katia told this fellow soldier that Rodas was very upset when Katia shared this with her.

Rodas texted Katia on Tuesday, May 14, 2024, and it was clear that Rodas was at Katia's apartment and was interacting with Wadsworth. Rodas texted Katia that she told

Wadsworth, "She has to leave this weekend, and Wadsworth says you haven't told her anything." Katia responded that she planned to tell Wadsworth about the eviction when she got home. During this same conversation, Katia asked to stay at Rodas's home for the week, and Rodas responded, "This is your house. You are supposed to live here. It is not necessary to even ask." Wadsworth texted Katia later in the day and told her that she plans to give her key to the landlord on Monday.

On Wednesday, May 15, 2024, Katia texted Rodas that "we took everything out of the garage." And Katia is likely referring to she and Cruz loading up her belongings. The investigation revealed that all of Katia's belongings, with the exception of a shower mat and a shower caddy, were moved to Rodas and Cruz's residence on Wednesday, May 15, 2024. Wadsworth also explained that all of Katia's belongings were removed from their shared apartment on May 15, 2024, and Wadsworth informed her mother that she would be moving back in with her.

On Thursday, May 16, 2024, Katia and her son slept at Rodas and Salinas-Cruz's home. On Friday, May 17, 2024, Katia left her son with a new babysitter and went into work. While at work, at 2:16 p.m., Katia changed the \$100,000 portion of her life insurance policy to give half of the money to her son and the other half to Salinas-Cruz. By

5 p.m., Katia and Rodas were together, and by 7:15 p.m., Rodas and Katia met a third woman at Pablo's American Restaurant in Clarksville.

Following dinner, Rodas and Katia left the restaurant and headed towards Katia's apartment. While Rodas was driving, Katia was texting her boyfriend, but her phone eventually died before she got home. Based on the security camera footage, Rodas drove through the gate of Katia's apartment complex at 10:48 and called Wadsworth at approximately 10:58, but Wadsworth did not answer the call. Rodas remained inside Katia's apartment complex until 12:18 a.m., or approximately 90 minutes.

On May 18th of 2024, Wadsworth woke up to find the backdoor of the apartment open, the garage door unlocked, the front window slightly open, and Katia's phone, which was dead, outside the front door near the bushes. That morning, Wadsworth returned Rodas's call, and they spoke for a minute or two. Wadsworth recalls asking Rodas where Katia was, since she had found her phone, but Katia's vehicle was not at the apartment, and Rodas responded that as far as she knew Katia was with her boyfriend. Wadsworth also noticed that Katia's bedroom door was locked, which it had not been the previous day.

In the early afternoon of May 18, 2024, Wadsworth was invited by her friend to go to the Cheatham Dam with her

children and joined her friend on that trip. Wadsworth and her friend both described that they purchased Little Caesar's pizza as they returned from Cheatham Dam and returned to Wadsworth's apartment. Wadsworth and her friend each have a boy and a girl, and the kids were playing in the apartment after dinner. At a certain point, the boys and girls were fighting, so Wadsworth attempted to separate them by putting the girls in her bedroom to play and the boys in Katia's bedroom. Wadsworth got a utensil to pop the lock and went into Katia's bedroom. She popped the lock and saw Katia's flip flops in the middle of the room, which had not been there the day before. Wadsworth continued into the room and looked in the closet where she saw Katia covered with a bathmat.

After finding Katia's body, Wadsworth and her friend took the kids out of the house, and Wadsworth called 911. Katia's autopsy determined that she was stabbed over 60 times in the chest and throat area, her blood alcohol was very high, and she had Rohypnol, also known as the date rape drug, in her system.

After the scene was clear, Wadsworth traveled with officers to the Clarksville Police Department to participate in an interview. Rodas and Cruz showed up together at the Clarksville Police Department. Rodas and Cruz agreed to participate in noncustodial interviews. Salinas-Cruz, in a

1 recorded interview. told law enforcement that Katia's car and 2 possessions were at the house he shared with Rodas; he cared 3 for Katia's son while Rodas and Katia went out on May 17th; that his marriage was fake; and that they had entered into 4 the marriage, or it, only to help him get his United States 5 citizenship. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kurtzman. 8 Do you have any questions for the prosecutor, Ms. Harcombe? 9 10 MS. HARCOMBE: I do not have any questions. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MS. HARCOMBE: I would note that Mr. Salinas-Cruz 13 is not stipulating to everything that's in this. 14 THE COURT: Yes. Yeah, I'm not going to ask him 15 to. 16 Mr. Salinas-Cruz, the prosecutor gave a very detailed recitation of facts starting a couple of years ago, 17 18 actually, and I don't expect you to admit all those facts. 19 However, I must be satisfied that you are pleading guilty 20 because you are guilty of the offense -- of the offenses

actually, and I don't expect you to admit all those facts.

However, I must be satisfied that you are pleading guilty
because you are guilty of the offense -- of the offenses
you're pleading guilty to. So with regard to Count One, the
conspiracy to commit marriage fraud, the government would
have to prove these elements to a jury beyond a reasonable
doubt. And I'm going to ask you if you think the government
could prove these elements to a jury beyond a reasonable

doubt if you had gone to trial.

First, that two or more people agreed to defraud the United States. Here it's you and -- and Ms. Rodas, your co-defendant.

Does the government also maintain that Katia was a co-conspirator? Suppose she was, really.

MR. KURTZMAN: She's an unindicted --

THE COURT: Unindicted co-conspirator.

So, anyway, at least two people agreed to defraud the United States, that you were a party to that agreement, that you joined in this conspiracy knowing that the objective was to defraud the United States and intending to join together with at least one other person to achieve the objective of defrauding the United States by violating the immigration laws, and that at some time during the existence of the conspiracy at least one of the conspirators performed an overt act to further accomplish the objective of the agreement, which was to violate the immigration laws.

So knowing that the defendant [sic] would have to prove all those things to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt for you to be found guilty, do you think the government could have done that if you had gone to trial on Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: For you to be found guilty of Count Two, the government would have to prove these elements

to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt:

That you knowingly married a US citizen, and you entered into this marriage for the purpose of evading the immigration laws.

You think the government could have proved those two elements against you if you had gone to trial on Count Two?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So you are pleading guilty because you are, in fact, guilty of these two charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you plead guilty to Counts One and

Two?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The Court finds there is a factual basis for the plea in this case. The Court has observed the appearance of Mr. Salinas-Cruz and his responsiveness to the questions asked. Based upon that observation and the answers to the questions, the Court is satisfied that this defendant is in full possession of his faculties and competent to plead guilty; he is not under the apparent influence of narcotics, hallucinogens or alcohol; he understands the nature of the charges to which his plea is offered and the maximum possible penalties provided by law; he is waiving his constitutional rights to trial and the constitutional rights accorded all

```
1
    persons accused of a crime and has offered to plead guilty
 2
    voluntarily.
 3
               I will accept the plea today.
 4
               Can we look at March for the sentencing.
 5
               MS. HARCOMBE: Your Honor, if there is an earlier
    date the Court is available, I believe that the probation
6
7
    office is now caught up, and we don't expect there to be any
8
    records that need to be obtained in this case --
               THE COURT: Give me a time frame. I can fit it in
9
10
   whenever you need it. What do you think?
11
               MS. HARCOMBE: January or February, guys?
12
               PROBATION OFFICER DAVIS: I'm going to defer to my
13
    supervisor.
14
               PROBATION OFFICER EVERETT: I would request at
15
    least 90 days, if the Court is able to do 90 days.
16
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Let's see. So that would be --
    February 12th would be 90 days.
17
18
               PROBATION OFFICER EVERETT: Yes, ma'am, I think
19
    late January, February would be fine.
20
                           Okay. How about Friday, February 7th
               THE COURT:
    at 2:00? That work?
21
22
               PROBATION OFFICER DAVIS: Your Honor, that will be
23
    fine.
                           That work?
24
               THE COURT:
25
               MR. KURTZMAN: Works for the government, Your
```

```
1
    Honor.
 2
               MS. HARCOMBE:
                             Works for the defense.
               THE COURT: 2:00, Friday, February 7th.
 3
 4
                      Mr. Kurtzman, I wanted to ask you: Are
5
    these defendants under investigation for either of these
    murders?
 6
               MR. KURTZMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I expect both of
7
8
    them to be -- I expect a homicide charge to be presented to
    the Montgomery County Grand Jury in early December.
9
10
               THE COURT: Charging both of them with murder, or
11
    do you know? You don't need to tell me.
12
               MR. KURTZMAN:
                              I'm not a hundred percent. I don't
13
    know if it's like an accessory after the fact for the
    defendant here or --
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay. All right.
               And now, Ms. Rojas -- Rojas?
16
                              Rodas.
17
               MR. KURTZMAN:
18
               THE COURT: Rodas.
                                   She's set for trial on
19
    December 31st. Are you anticipating a trial in this case, in
20
    which case we'd probably need to move that trial to a
    different time?
21
22
                              Do I expect -- I'm not sure,
               MR. KURTZMAN:
23
   Your Honor. I don't expect it to go then. I have spoken to
24
    Mr. Perry, and he said it's not going to go then, but I don't
25
    know if he plans to have his client plead here and then go
```

```
1
   over to state authorities or -- I'm not -- I'm not quite
2
    sure.
               THE COURT: But you're -- he's told you it would
 3
   not be going to trial on December 31st?
 4
5
               MR. KURTZMAN: That is what he's communicated,
6
   yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. All right. Is there
7
   anything else from the government?
8
9
               MR. KURTZMAN:
                              No, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Anything else from the defense?
               MS. HARCOMBE:
                              No, ma'am.
11
               THE COURT: All right. We're in recess.
12
               Thank you, Ms. Kristy.
13
14
15
               (Proceedings concluded at 2:52 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Patricia A. Jennings, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, with offices at Nashville, do hereby certify:

That I reported on the Stenograph machine the proceedings held in open court on November 12, 2024, in the matter of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. REYNALDO SALINAS-CRUZ, Case No. 3:24-cr-00178-1; that said proceedings in connection with the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that the foregoing transcript (pages 1 through 30) is a true and accurate record of said proceedings.

This the 2nd day of December, 2024.

/s/ Patricia A. Jennings Patricia A. Jennings, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter